arXiv:1001.4966v1 [math.FA] 27 Jan 2010

Extremal problems related to maximal dyadic-like operators

Eleftherios N.Nikolidakis

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of Cyprus 20537, CY 1678, Nicosia, Cyprus

Abstract

We obtain sharp estimates for the localized distribution function of the dyadic maximal function $\mathcal{M}_d\phi$. when ϕ belongs to $L^{p,\infty}$. Using this we obtain sharp estimates for the quasi-norm of $\mathcal{M}_d\phi$ in $L^{p,\infty}$ given the localized L^1-norm and certain weak L^p-conditions

Keywords: Dyadic, maximal

1 Introduction

The dyadic maximal operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_d\phi(x) = \sup\left\{\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |\phi(u)|du : x \in Q, Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is a dyadic cube}\right\} \quad (1.1)$$

for every $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the dyadic cubes are those formed by the grids $2^{-N}\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $N = 0, 1, 2, ...$ It is known that it satisfies the following weak type $(1, 1)$ inequality

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_d\phi(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\{\mathcal{M}_d\phi(x)>\lambda\}} |\phi(u)|du \quad (1.2)$$

for every $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $\lambda > 0$ from which we easily get the following L^p inequality

$$||\mathcal{M}_d\phi||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1}||\phi||_p. \quad (1.3)$$

E-mail address: nikolidakis.lefteris@ucy.ac.cy

One way of studying such maximal operators is the computation of the so-called Bellman functions related to them, which reflect certain deeper properties of these maximal operators. Such functions related to inequality (1.3) are precisely evaluated [4], [5]. Actually if we define for any $p > 1$

$$B_p(f,F) = \sup\left\{ \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q (\mathcal{M}_d \phi)^p du : \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \phi = f, \ \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \phi^p = F \right\} \tag{1.4}$$

where Q is a fixed dyadic cube, ϕ is non-negative in $L^p(Q)$ and F, f satisfy $0 \leq f \leq F^{1/p}$. It is proved in [4] that $B_p(f,F) = F\omega_p(\frac{f^p}{F})^p$ where $\omega_p : [0,1] \to [1, \frac{p}{p-1}]$ is the inverse function of $H_p(z) = -(p-1)z^p + pz^{p-1}$.Using the above result it is possible to compute more complicated functions related to maximal dyadic-like operators.

The case where $p < 1$ is studied in [5] where it was completely solved.

One may look (1.4) as an extremum problem which reflects the deeper structure of dyadic-maximal operators. Certain other extremum problems arise in this spirit. Some of these are the computation of the following functions

$$B(f,F) = \sup\left\{ ||\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}}\phi||_{p,\infty} : \ \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, |||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = F \right\}, \quad 0 < f \leq F \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$B_1(f,F) = \sup\left\{ ||\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}}\phi||_{p,\infty} : \ \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, ||\phi||_{p,\infty} = F \right\}, \quad 0 < f \leq \frac{p}{p-1}F \tag{1.6}$$

where (X,μ) is a non-atomic probability measure space, $\mathcal{T}$ a tree on X and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}}$ the corresponding maximal operator as it will defined in the sequel. Additionally

$$||\phi||_{p,\infty} = \sup\left\{ \lambda\mu\left(\{\phi > \lambda\}\right)^{1/p} : \ \lambda > 0 \right\} \tag{1.7}$$

is the standard quasi-norm in $L^{p,\infty}$ and

$$sup \left\{ \mu\left(E\right)^{-1+1/p} \int_E |\phi| d\mu : E \subseteq X \text{ measurable, such that } \mu(E) > 0 \right\} \quad (1.8)$$

is an equivallent norm in $L^{p,\infty}$.

In this article we exactly compute these functions. In fact we set.

$$B(f, F, \lambda) = \sup\{\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}) : \ \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, \ |||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq F\}. \quad (1.9)$$

for $0 < f \leq F$, $\lambda > 0$ and

$$B_1(h, F, \lambda) = \sup \left\{ \mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}\right) : \ \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, \|\phi\|_{p,\infty} = F \right\} (1.10)$$

for $0 < f \leq \frac{p}{p-1} F$, $\lambda > 0$ and we compute them. After that the computation of (1.5) and (1.6) is an easy task.

Additionally it is not difficult to compute

$$B_2(f, F, \lambda) = \sup \left\{ \mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}\right) : \ \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, |||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = F \right\} \quad (1.11)$$

for the range $f < \lambda \leq (F^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$, by the method that (1.10) is computed, while the proof remains the same for $\lambda > (P^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$, as it is in Theorem 3.1. The result is that:

$$B_2(f, F, \lambda) = B(f, F, \lambda).$$

Related problems are studied in [6]. In all these problems the corresponding functions are independent from the particular tree $\mathcal{T}$ and the measure space (X, μ).

2 Some general facts

Let (X, μ) be an non-atomic probability measure space. We begin with the following

Lemma 2.1 *Let* $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *measurable and* $I \subseteq X$ *be measurable with* $\mu(I) > 0$. *suppose that* $Av_I(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mu(I)} \int_I \phi d\mu = s$. *Then for every* $\beta \in (0, \mu(I)]$ *there exists measurable set* $E_\beta \subseteq I$ *with* $\mu(E_\beta) = \beta$ *such that* $\frac{1}{\mu(E_\beta)} \int_{E_\beta} \phi d\mu = s$.

Proof.
Consider the measure space $(I, \mu/I)$ and define $\psi : I \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\psi(t) = \phi(t)$, $t \in I$, so that $\psi = \phi/I$.

Then, if $\psi^* : [0, \mu(I)] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is the decreasing rearrangement of ψ, we have that

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\int_0^{\beta} \psi^*(u)du \geq \frac{1}{\mu(F)}\int_0^{\mu(I)} \psi^*(u)du = s \geq \frac{1}{\beta}\int_{\mu(I)-\beta}^{\mu(I)} \psi^*(u)du. \qquad (2.1)$$

The inequalities are obvious because ψ^* is decreasing while the equality is true because of

$$\int_0^{\mu(I)} \psi^*(u)du = \int_I \phi d\mu.$$

From (2.1) it is deduced that there exists a $r \geq 0$ such that $\beta + r \leq \mu(I)$ with

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\int_r^{\beta+r} \psi^*(u)du = s. \qquad (2.2)$$

It is now easily seen that there exists an E_β measurable subset of I such that

$$\mu(E_\beta) = \beta \ \text{ and } \ \int_{E_\beta} \phi d\mu = \int_r^{\beta+r} \psi^*(u)du. \qquad (2.3)$$

(2.2) and (2.3) now give the conclusion of Lemma 2.1.

We prove now the following

Proposition 2.2 *Let* $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *measurable,* $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$, $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = F (f \leq F)$ *. Let* $I \subseteq X$ *be measurable with* $\mu(I) > 0$ *such that* $Av_I(\phi) = s$*. Define* $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *with*

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \in X \backslash I \\ s, & t \in I \end{cases} \qquad (2.4)$$

Then $\psi \in L^{p,\infty}$, $|||\psi|||_{p,\infty} \leq F$ *and* $\int_X \psi d\mu = f$.

Proof.
It is clear that $\int_X \psi d\mu = \int_X \phi d\mu = f$.Let now $E \subseteq X$ be measurable with

$\mu(E) > 0$. We prove that $\mu(E)^{-1+1/p} \int_E \psi d\mu \leq F$. We write $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ with $E_1 \subseteq X \backslash I, E_2 \subseteq I$ and $\mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) > 0$. Then

$$\mu(E)^{-1+1/p} \int_E \psi d\mu = \frac{\int_{E_1} \psi d\mu + \int_{E_2} \psi d\mu}{(\mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2))^{1-1/p}} = \frac{\int_{E_1} \phi d\mu + s\mu(E_2)}{(\mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2))^{1-1/p}} \tag{2.5}$$

Using Lemma 1 we have that $s \leq \frac{1}{\mu(E_\beta)} \int_{E_\beta} \phi d\mu$ for some E_β measurable subset of I with $\mu(E_\beta) = \mu(E_2)$. Hence from (2.5) we deduce that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu(E)^{-1+1/p} \int_E \psi d\mu & \leq & \frac{\int_{E_1} \phi d\mu + \int_{E_\beta} \phi d\mu}{(\mu(E_1)+\mu(E_2))^{1-1/p}} \\ & = & \mu(E_1 \cup E_\beta)^{-1+1/p} \int_{E_1 \cup E_\beta} \phi d\mu \\ & \leq & |||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = F \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

so that $|||\psi|||_{p,\infty} \leq F$ and the proposition is proved.

Let now (X, μ) be a non-atomic probability measure space. Two measurable subsets A, B of X will be call *almost disjoint* if $\mu(A \cap B) = 0$. We give now the following

Definition 2.1 *A set $\mathcal{T}$ of measurable subsets of X will be called a tree if the following conditions are satisfied:*
i) $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and for every $I \in \mathcal{T}$ we have $\mu(I) > 0$
ii) For every $I \in \mathcal{T}$ there corresponds a finite subset $\mathcal{C}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ containing at least two elements such that:
  (a)the elements of $\mathcal{C}(I)$ are pairwise almost disjoint subsets of I.
  (b)$I = \cup \mathcal{C}(I)$
iii) $\mathcal{T} = \cup_{m \geq (0)} \mathcal{T}_{(m)}$ where $\mathcal{T}_0 = \{X\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(m+1)} = \cup_{I \in \mathcal{T}_{(m)}} \mathcal{C}(I)$
iv) $\lim_{m \to \infty} sup_{I \in \mathcal{T}_{(m)}} \mu(I) = 0$

From [4] we have the following

Lemma 2.3 *For every $I \in \mathcal{T}$ and every a such that $0 < a < 1$ there exists a subfamily $\mathcal{F}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ consisting of pairwise almost disjoint subsets of I such that*

$$\mu\left(\cup_{J \in \mathcal{F}(I)} J\right) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{F}(I)} \mu(J) = (1-a)\mu(I).$$

Let now (X, μ) be a non-atomic probability measure space and $\mathcal{T}$ a tree as in definition (1.1). We define the associated maximal operator to the tree $\mathcal{T}$ as follows: Let ϕ be function on X such that $\phi \in L^1(X, \mu)$ then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}}\phi(x) = sup\left\{\frac{1}{\mu(I)}\int_I |\phi| d\mu : x \in I \subseteq \mathcal{T}\right\} \tag{2.7}$$

for every $x \in X$. Due to proposition (1.2) in order to find

$$sup\left\{\mu(\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}}\phi \geq \lambda\}) : \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, |||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq F\right\}$$

we may assume that $\phi = \lambda$ on the set where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}}\phi \geq \lambda$.

As for the domain of the respective extremal problem it is easy to see that it is as mentioned in equations (1.5) and (1.6). More precisely there exists a non negative ϕ and not equal to the zero function such that $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$ and $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = F$ if and only if $0 < f \leq F$ for (1.5) and analogously for (1.6).

3 The first extremal problem

Theorem 3.1 *Let (X, μ) be a non atomic probability measure space, $\mathcal{T}$ a tree on the measure space X and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{M}$ the associated maximal operator, then the following holds*

$$\begin{aligned} B(f, F, \lambda) = & \ sup\left\{\mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}\right) : \phi \geq 0, \textstyle\int_X \phi d\mu = f, |||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq F\right\} \\ = & \ min(1, f/\lambda, F^p/\lambda^p) \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < f \leq F, \lambda > 0$.

Proof.
We calculate

$$min(1, f/\lambda, F^p/\lambda^p) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < \lambda \leq f \\ f/\lambda, & f < \lambda \leq \\ F^p/\lambda^p, & (F^p/f)^{1/(p-1)} < \lambda \end{cases}$$

For $0 < \lambda \leq f$ it is implied $\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}) = \mu(X) = 1$ for any ϕ such that $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$. For $f < \lambda \leq (F^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$ it is proved in [6] that

$$sup\left\{\mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}\right) : \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, \int_X \phi^p d\mu = F^p\right\} = f/\lambda \tag{3.1}$$

since $L^p(X,\mu) \subset L^{p,\infty}(X,\mu)$ and $\int_X \phi^p d\mu = F^p$ implies $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq F$ we lead to $B(f,F,\lambda) = f/\lambda$ due to

$$\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}) \leq \frac{\int_{\{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\}} \phi}{\lambda} \leq f/\lambda,\ \forall\lambda > 0$$

We prove now the rest of the theorem by showing that $B(f,F,\lambda) = F^p/\lambda^p$, when $\lambda > (F^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$. Without loss of generality we suppose that $F = 1, f \leq 1$. We first prove that $B(f,F,\lambda) \leq 1/\lambda^p$ for every $\lambda > 0$. For this let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq 1$, $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$ and $\lambda > 0$. If $\lambda < f \leq 1 \Rightarrow B(f,F,\lambda) = 1 < \frac{1}{\lambda^p}$. Let now $\lambda \geq f$; if we set $K = \{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}$ we have that $K = \cup_j I_j$ where $I_j \in \mathcal{T}$ almost dijoint and $\frac{1}{\mu(I_j)} \int_{I_j} \phi d\mu \geq \lambda$ so that $\int_{I_j} \phi d\mu \geq \lambda\mu(I_j), \forall j$. Summing over the indices we obtain

$$\int_K \phi d\mu = \int_{\cup_j I_j} \phi d\mu \geq \lambda\mu\left(\cup_j I_j\right) = \lambda\mu(K). \tag{3.2}$$

However, $|||\phi||| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \mu(K)^{-1+1/p} \int_K \phi d\mu \leq 1$ and since (3.2) is valid we end up to $\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}) = \mu(K) \leq 1/\lambda^p$. Therefore $B(f,F,\lambda) \leq 1/\lambda^p$ for every $\lambda > 0$. We prove the inverse inequality for $\lambda > (1/f)^{1/(p-1)}, F = 1$. We show it first for the case where $(X,\mu) = ([0,1], |\cdot|)$ where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesque measure. Let $\phi : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be the function defined by

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} (1-1/p)(t+1/\lambda^p)^{-1/p}, & 0 \leq t < A \\ 0, & A \leq t \leq 1-1/\lambda^p \\ \lambda, & 1-1/\lambda^p < t \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where $A = f^{p/(p-1)} - 1/\lambda^p \leq 1 - 1/\lambda^p$. We prove that $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$, $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = 1$. For $t \in [0,A]$ we have that

$$\int_0^t \phi(s)ds = (t+1/\lambda^p)^{1-1/p} - 1/\lambda^{p-1} \tag{3.4}$$

For $A \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^p}$: $\int_0^t \phi(s)ds = f - \frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}}$ thus $\int_0^1 \phi(s)ds = f$. It is quite clear $|\{\phi \geq \lambda\}| \geq |[1-1/\lambda^p, 1]| = 1/\lambda^p$ and for every $t \in [0, 1-1/\lambda^p]$ we derive that

$$\int_0^t \phi(s)ds \leq (t+1/\lambda^p)^{1-1/p} - (1/\lambda^p)^{1-1/p} \tag{3.5}$$

therefore for every $E \subset [0, 1-1/\lambda^p]$ with $|E| > 0$ we find

$$\int_E \phi(s)ds \leq \int_0^{|E|} \phi(s)ds \leq (|E| + 1/\lambda^p)^{1-1/p} - (1/\lambda^p)^{1-1/p} \tag{3.6}$$

since ϕ is decreasing on $[0, 1-1/\lambda^p]$.

We now prove that $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq 1$. It is enough to prove that

$$\frac{\lambda|E_1| + \int_{E_2} \phi(s)ds}{(|E_1| + |E_2|)^{1-1/p}} \leq 1 \tag{3.7}$$

for every $E_1 \subseteq [1-1/\lambda^p, 1]$ and every $E_2 \subseteq [0, 1-1/\lambda^p]$ such that $|E_1|+|E_2| > 0$. Equation (3.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int_{E_2} \phi(s)ds \leq & \ \inf\left\{(|E_1| + |E_2|)^{1-1/p} - \lambda|E_1| : |E_1| \leq 1/\lambda^p\right\} \\ = & \ \inf\left\{(a + |E_2|)^{1-1/p} - \lambda a : 0 \leq a \leq 1/\lambda^p\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We define $g : [0, 1/\lambda^p] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $g(a) = (a + |E_2|)^{1-1/p} - \lambda a$. Since g is a concave function of a and $(x+y)^q \leq x^q + y^q$ for $x, y \geq 0, q < 1$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{g(a) : 0 \leq a \leq 1/\lambda^p\} &= \min\{g(0), g(1/\lambda^p)\} \\ &= \min\left\{|E_2|^{1-1/p}, (1/\lambda^p + |E_2|)^{1-1/p} - (1/\lambda^p)^{1-1/p}\right\} \\ &= (1/\lambda^p + |E_2|)^{1-1/p} - 1/\lambda^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore in order to prove that $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq 1$ it is enough to show

$$\int_{E_2} \phi(s)ds \leq (1/\lambda^p + |E_2|)^{1-1/p} - 1/\lambda^{p-1}$$

for every $E_2 \subseteq [0, 1-1/\lambda^p]$ which is true by (3.6). Thus we obtain $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq 1$. In addition $|||\psi|||_{p,\infty} \geq ||\psi||_{p,\infty}$ for every $\psi \in L^{p,\infty}$ and

$$|\{\phi > \lambda - \epsilon\}| \geq 1/\lambda^p$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$ small enough for our specified ϕ. Therefore $||\phi||_{p,\infty} \geq 1$ consequently

$$|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \geq 1.$$

We have proved that $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = 1$.

We prove now that for $\lambda > \left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, F = 1$ we have $B(f, F, \lambda) \geq 1/\lambda^p$ for the general case. Let (X,μ) be a non atomic probability space and $\mathcal{T}$ be a tree on X. From Lemma 2.3 there exists a sequence $\{I_j\}_j$ of almost disjoint subsets of X such that $\mu(\cup I_j) = \sum_j \mu(I_j) = 1/\lambda^p$. We set $Z = X \setminus (\cup_j I_j)$. Then $\mu(Z) = 1 - 1/\lambda^p$. Let now ϕ be the function defined by the following way: $\phi : [0, 1 - 1/\lambda^p] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} (1-\frac{1}{p})(t+1/\lambda^p)^{-1/p}, & 0 < t \leq A \\ 0, & A < t \leq 1 - 1/\lambda^p \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

where A is such that $\int_0^A \phi(s)ds = f - 1/\lambda^{p-1}$.
Since $\int_0^{1-1/\lambda^p} (1-\frac{1}{p})(s+1/\lambda^p)^{-1/p} ds = 1 - 1/\lambda^{p-1} \geq f - 1/\lambda^{p-1}$ this choice of A is possible.
The measure space $(Z, \mu|Z)$ is non-atomic finite thus there exists $\psi_1 : Z \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\psi_1^* = \phi$, where ψ_1^* is the decreasing rearrangement of ψ_1. Define now $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \psi_1(t), & t \in Z \\ \lambda, & t \in \cup_j I_j \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Then ψ is a well defined measurable function on X and

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\int_X \psi d\mu = & \textstyle\int_Z \psi_1 d\mu + \lambda\mu(\cup_j I_j) \\ = & \textstyle\int_0^{1-1/\lambda^p} \phi(u)du + 1/\lambda^{p-1} = f \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In addition for every $E \subseteq Z$

$$\int_E \psi d\mu = \int_E \psi_1 d\mu \leq \int_0^{|E|} \psi_1^*(s)ds = \int_0^{|E|} \phi(u)du \leq (|E| + 1/\lambda^p)^{1-1/p} - 1/\lambda^{p-1} \tag{3.12}$$

so as before we can conclude that $|||\psi|||_{p,\infty} \leq 1$. Due to $|\{\psi = \lambda\}| \geq |\cup_j I_j| \geq 1/\lambda^p$ we can see that $||\psi||_{p,\infty} \geq 1$ and from the inequality $||\psi||_{p,\infty} \leq |||\psi|||_{p,\infty}$ we deduce $|||\psi|||_{p,\infty} = 1$. Finally $\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\psi \geq \lambda\}) \geq 1/\lambda^p$ and this implies $B(f,1,\lambda) \geq 1/\lambda^p$ hence $B(f,1,\lambda) = 1/\lambda^p$ for $\lambda > (\frac{1}{f})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Theorem 4.1 is proved.

A direct consequence of the Theorem 4.1 is the following

Corollary 3.2 *Let* $0 < f \leq F$ *and*

$$B(f,F) = \sup\left\{ ||\mathcal{M}\phi||_{p,\infty} : \int_X \phi d\mu = f, |||\phi|||_{p,\infty} = F \right\}.$$

Then $B(f,F)=F$

Proof.
It is easy to see that in the definition of $B(f,F,\lambda)$ we can replace $\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\})$ by $\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi>\lambda\})$. By theorem (1.4) we derive $\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi>\lambda\})\leq\frac{F^p}{\lambda^p}$ for every ϕ such that $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty}=F$ and for every $\lambda>0$; therefore $\lambda^p\mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi>\lambda\}\right)\leq F^p$, which means $||\mathcal{M}\phi||_{p,\infty}\leq F$ if $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty}=F$. However, for $\lambda>(F^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$ it is implied $B(f,F,\lambda)=F^p/\lambda^p$ and the supremum is attained for some ϕ such that $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty}=F$ and $\int_X\phi d\mu=f$ hence $B(f,F)=F$ for $0<f\leq F$.

4 The second extremal problem

We now prove the following:

Theorem 4.1 *Let* (X,μ) *be a non atomic probability measure space,* $\mathcal{T}$ *a tree on* X*,* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{T}}=\mathcal{M}$ *the associated maximal operator. Then for* $0<f\leq\frac{p}{p-1}F$ *we have that*

$$B_1(f,F,\lambda)=\sup\left\{\mu(\ \{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\}):\int_X\phi\,d\mu=f,\ ||\phi||_{p,\infty}=F\right\}$$

$$=\min(1,f/\lambda,k^pF^p/\lambda^p)=\begin{cases}1, & 0<\lambda<f\\ f/\lambda, & f\leq\lambda<(F^pk^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}\\ k^pF^p/\lambda^p, & \lambda\geq(F^pk^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}\end{cases}$$

where $k=p/(p-1)$ *and* $||\phi||_{p,\infty}=\sup\left\{\lambda\mu\left(\{\phi>\lambda\}\right)^{1/p}:\ \lambda>0\right\}$

Proof.
We assume that $F=1$, $f\leq k$ and we search for $B_1(f,1,\lambda)$. We observe that $||\phi||_{p,\infty}=1$ implies $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty}\leq k$ since for every $\psi\in L^{p,\infty}$ it is true that $|||\psi|||_{p,\infty}\leq\frac{p}{p-1}||\psi||_{p,\infty}$ Therefore

$$\mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\}\right)^{-1+1/p}\int_{\{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\}}\phi d\mu\leq k \tag{4.1}$$

however, $\int_{\{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\}}\phi d\mu\geq\lambda\cdot\mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\}\right)$ thus (4.1) yields $\mu\left(\{\mathcal{M}\phi\geq\lambda\}\right)\leq k^p/\lambda^p$ for every $\lambda>0$. Hence for $\lambda>(k^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$,

$$B_1(f,1,\lambda)\leq k^p/\lambda^p \tag{4.2}$$

We proceed proving the reverse inequality of (4.2); Lemma 2.3 implies the existense of a sequence I_j of pairwise almost disjoint subsets of X such that $I_j \in \mathcal{T}$ for every j and $\sum_j \mu(I_j) = \mu\left(\cup_j I_j\right) = k^p/\lambda^p$. For all values of λ satisfying $k^p/\lambda^p < f/\lambda < 1$ the particular choice of $(I_j)_j$ is possible. Since

$$\int_0^{k^p/\lambda^p} t^{-1/p} dt = k^p/\lambda^{p-1} = \lambda \sum_j \mu(I_j) \tag{4.3}$$

it is not difficult to see using Lemma 2.1 repeatedly that there exists a sequence $(A_j)_j$ of measurable pairwise disjoint subsets of $[0, k^p/\lambda^p]$ such that $\sum_j |A_j| = k^p/\lambda^p$ and $\int_{A_j} t^{-1/p} dt = \lambda |A_j|$ with $|A_j| = \mu(I_j)$ for any j. For every j we set $\xi_j : A_j \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined as $\xi_j(t) = t^{-1/p}$ for $t \in A_j$. Let $\psi_j : [0, |A_j|] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\psi_j = \xi_j^*$, the decreasing rearrangement of ξ_j and since $(I_j, \mu|I_j)$ is a non-atomic finite measure space, there exists measurable $\phi_j : I_j \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\phi_j^* = \psi_j$. Therefore

$$\int_{I_j} \phi_j d\mu = \int_0^{|A_j|} \psi_j(t) dt = \int_{A_j} \xi_j(t) dt = \int_{A_j} t^{-1/p} dt = \lambda \mu(I_j)$$

thus

$$Av_{I_j}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mu(I_j)} \int_{I_j} \phi d\mu = \lambda.$$

Since $\{I_j\}_j$ is almost disjoint there exists a well-defined function $\phi' : \cup_j I_j \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\phi' = \phi_j$ μ-almost everywhere on I_j. Then for every $\theta > 0$ with $1/\theta^p \le k^p/\lambda^p$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{\phi' > \theta\}) = &\ \textstyle\sum_j \mu(\{\phi' > \theta\} \cap I_j) = \sum_j \left| \left\{\phi_j^* > \theta\right\} \right| \\ = &\ \textstyle\sum_j \left| \{\psi_j > \theta\} \right| = \left| \left\{t > 0 : t^{-1/p} > \theta\right\} \right| \\ = &\ 1/\theta^p \end{aligned}$$

For $\theta > 0$ such that $1/\theta^p > k^p/\lambda^p$,

$$\mu(\{\phi' > \theta\}) \le \mu(\cup_j I_j) = k^p/\lambda^p.$$

The following holds

$$\int_{\cup_j I_j} \phi' d\mu = \lambda \sum_j \mu(I_j) = k^p/\lambda^{p-1}$$

We extend the function ϕ' to $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$, $||\phi||_{p,\infty} = 1$ and $\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\} \supseteq \cup_j I_j$. We proceed as follows to define $\phi_\lambda : [0, 1 - k^p/\lambda^p] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ as

$$\phi_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} (t + k^p/\lambda^p)^{-1/p} & , 0 \leq t \leq A_1 \\ 0 & , A_1 < t \leq 1 - k^p/\lambda^p \end{cases}$$

where A_1 is such that

$$\int_0^{A_1} \phi_\lambda(t) dt = f - k^p/\lambda^{p-1} \tag{4.4}$$

Since $\int_0^{1-k^p/\lambda^p} (t+k^p/\lambda^p)^{-1/p} dt = k - k^p/\lambda^{p-1} \geq f - k^p/\lambda^{p-1}$, (4.4) is possible for some $A_1 \leq 1 - k^p/\lambda^p$. Then for $\theta < (k^p/\lambda^p)^{-1/p} = \lambda/k$

$$|\,\{\phi_\lambda \geq \theta\}\,| \leq |\,\left\{t \in [0, 1 - k^p/\lambda^p] : (t + k^p/\lambda^p)^{-1/p} > \theta\right\}\,| = \theta^{-p} - k^p/\lambda^p$$

However

$$\phi_\lambda(t) \leq \lambda/k$$

for every $t \in [0, 1 - k^p/\lambda^p]$, which gives

$$|\ \{\phi_\lambda > \theta\}\ |= 0$$

for $\theta > \lambda/k$. Obviously

$$\mu(X \backslash (\cup_j I_j)) = \mu(Z) = 1 - k^p/\lambda^p$$

so there exists $\phi'' : Z \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with$(\phi'')^* = \phi_\lambda$. Then

$$\int_Z \phi'' d\mu = f - k^p/\lambda^{p-1}$$

and

$$\mu\left(\{\phi'' \geq \theta\}\right) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \theta > \lambda/k \\ \theta^{-p} - k^p/\lambda^p, & \text{if } \theta \leq \lambda/k \end{cases}$$

hence by defining

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} \phi'(t), & \text{if } t \in \cup_j I_j \\ \phi''(t), & \text{if } t \in Z \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\int_X \phi d\mu = f, \ \parallel \phi \parallel_{p,\infty} = 1 \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}) \geq k^p/\lambda^p \tag{4.6}$$

therefore $B_1(f,1,\lambda) = k^p/\lambda^p$ for $\lambda > (k^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$. In order to prove the theorem it is enough to show that $B_1(f,1,\lambda) = f/\lambda$ for $f < \lambda \leq (k^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$ We show it using the following arguments; for every $\phi \in L^{p,\infty}$ such that $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$, it is true that $\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}) \leq f/\lambda$, so that $B_1(f,1,\lambda) \leq f/\lambda$. We also need to prove the reverse inequality;it is easy to see that there exists $G : [0, f/\lambda] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ strictly decreasing, continous, convex function such that

$$\begin{gathered}\textstyle\int_0^{f/\lambda} G(t)dt = f,\ G(t) \leq t^{-1/p} \text{ for every } t \\ \lim_{t\to 0^+} G(t) = +\infty,\ \lim_{t\to+\infty} (\mid \{G > t\} \mid t^p) = 1\end{gathered} \tag{4.7}$$

The existence of such a function G is possible since the following holds

$$\int_0^{f/\lambda} t^{-1/p} dt = \kappa (f/\lambda)^{1-1/p} > f.$$

By Lemma 2.3 there exists a sequence $\{I_j\}$ of pairwise almost disjoint elements of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\sum_j \mu(I_j) = f/\lambda$. Then, $\int_0^{f/\lambda} G(t)dt = \lambda \sum_j \mu(I_j)$. Using again Lemma 2.1 repeatedly we see that there exists a measurable partition of $[0, f/\lambda]$, $\{A_j\}$ such that $\mid A_j \mid = \mu(I_j)$ and $\int_{A_j} G(t)dt = \lambda \mid A_j \mid$, for every j. We define $\phi_j : I_j \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\phi_j^* = (G|A_j)^*$. Then $\int_{I_j} \phi_j d\mu = \int_{A_j} G(t)dt = \lambda\mu(I_j)$, implying $Av_{I_j}(\phi) = \lambda$ where $\phi = \phi_j$ almost everywhere on I_j and $\phi = 0$ on the complement of $\cup_j I_j$. Then for every θ such that $\theta^{-p} \leq f/\lambda$

$$\mu(\{\phi > \theta\}) = \sum_j \mu(\{\phi_j > \theta\} \cap I_j) = \mid \{G > \theta\} \mid \leq \theta^{-p}$$

However

$$\mu(\{\phi > \theta\}) \leq \mu(\cup_j I_j) = f/\lambda$$

for every θ such that $f/\lambda \leq \theta^{-p}$. Thus

$$\int_X \phi d\mu = f \text{ and } ||\phi||_{p,\infty} \leq 1.$$

Due to (4.7) $||\phi||_{p,\infty} = 1$. However,

$$\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\}) \geq \mu(\cup_j I_j) = f/\lambda$$

As a result $B_1(f,1,\lambda) = f/\lambda$ for $f < \lambda \leq (k^p/f)^{1/(p-1)}$. The theorem is proved.

We state the following

Corollary 4.2 *Let* $B_1(f,F) =$
$= \sup\left\{||\mathcal{M}\phi||_{p,\infty} : \phi \geq 0, \int_X \phi d\mu = f, ||\phi||_{p,\infty} = F\right\}$ *where* $f \leq kF$ *and* $k = p/(p-1)$. *Then* $B_1(f,F) = kF$

Proof.
For any $\phi \geq 0$ such that $\int_X \phi d\mu = f$ and $||\phi||_{p,\infty} = F$, we deduce that $|||\phi|||_{p,\infty} \leq kF$ thus from Corrolary 1.5 $B_1(f,F) \leq kF$. Theorem 1.4 implies that there exists $\phi \geq 0$ such that $||\phi||_{p,\infty} = F$,$\int_X \phi d\mu = f$ and $\sup\left\{\lambda\mu(\{\mathcal{M}\phi \geq \lambda\})^{1/p} : \lambda > 0\right\} = kF$ hence $||\mathcal{M}\phi||_{p,\infty} = kF$. Therefore $B_1(f,F) = kF$ and the corrolary is proved.

References

[1] D.L.Burkholder,*Martingales and Fourier analysis in Banach spaces*,C.I.M.E.Lectures(Varenna(Como),Italy,1985),Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1206(1986),61-108.

[2] D.L.Burkholder,*Boundary value problems and sharp inequalities for martingale transforms*,Ann. of Prob.12(1984),647-702.

[3] L.Grafakos,S.Montgomery-Smith.*Best constants for uncentered maximal functions*,Bull. London Math. Soc. 29 (1997),no.1,60-64

[4] A.D.Melas *The Bellman functions of dyadic-like maximal operators and related inequalities* Adv. of Math. 192 (2005) 310-340

[5] A.D.Melas, E.Nikolidakis *Dyadic-like maximal operators on integrable functions and Bellman functions related to Kolmogorov's inequality* submitted.

[6] A.D.Melas, E.Nikolidakis *On weak type inequalities for dyadic maximal functions* J.Math.Anal.Appl.(2008)404-410.

[7] F.Nazarov,S.Treil *The hunt for a Bellman function:applications to estimates for singular integral operators and to other classical problems of harmonic analysis*,Algebra i Analyz 8 no.5 (1996),32-162.

[8] A.Volberg,*Bellman approach to some problems in Harmonic Analysis*,Seminaire des Equations aux derivees partilles,Ecole Polytechnique,2002,epose.XX,1-14

[9] G.Wang,*Sharp maximal inequalities for conditionally symmetric martingales and Brownian motion*,Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991),579-586.